

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,601 03/06/2		03/06/2002	Charlotte Persson	000500-328	4210
21839	7590	03/31/2006		EXAMINER	
		ERSOLL PC	REICHLE, KARIN M		
(INCLUDIN	ig burn	IS, DOANE, SWE	CKER & MATHIS)		
POST OFFI	CE BOX	1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404				3761	
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/31/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/009,601	PERSSON, CHARLOTTE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karin M. Reichle	3761		

	Karin M. Reichle	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.	
<ul> <li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>5. ☒ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,8,10,11 and 15-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evaluation of the control of the contr	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			ice because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	۲۱۵/58/08 or ۲۱۵-1449) Paper ۱		
		Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner	he

Art Unit: 3761

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The specification objection and the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's remarks with respect to the prior art have been considered but are deemed not persuasive. The basis of the remarks is substantially similar to those presented in the 10-4-05 response, i.e the references don't teach the time of monitoring, etc. However, for the same reasons as set forth in the prior art rejections set forth in the FINAL, such remarks are deemed not persuasive because they are narrower than the the claim language (Note, for example, page 4, lines 2-4 of the FINAL) and the teachings of the prior art (Note, for example, the cited portions of Moench et al which teach acidifying as well as the claim language which only requires an additive which produces an acid but does not require any particular manner of such acid production).